CR-144

Order Concerning Appellant's Proposed Statement on Appeal (Infraction)

| | CR-1 | Order Concerning Appellant's Proposed Statement on Appeal (Infraction) | Clerk sampled to be then form is filed. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF CRANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER |
|----------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1) | | out has received and reviewed the <i>Proposed Statement on Appeal</i> CR-143) filed by the appellant on <i>(fill in date)</i> : 7/12/2010 | nn 26 2010 |
| 2 | The court makes the following order: | | ALAN CAPASON, Clurk to the Chapt |
| | a. [] | The court certifies that parts (3) through (7) of the statement as proposed by the appellant are a complete and accurate summary of the trial court proceedings. This statement is ready to be sent to the appellate division. | Clerk fills in the name and street address of the |
| | b. 🗹 | The following corrections are needed in order for parts 3 through 7 of the statement proposed by the appellant to be a complete and accurate summary of the trial court proceedings. | Superior Court of California, County of Orange 700 Civic Center Drive West |
| | | (1) Sentence at line 20 of page 2 of statement on appeal is incorrect. Officer has seen the traffic box at the intersection but had not looked inside to confirm there is a computer. | Santa Ana, CA 92701 |
| | | | Clerk fills in the number and name of the case: |
| | | (2)Line 18, page 4 correct by inserting the term "secured internet connection." Line 25, page 4 clarification: only Redflex employees with approved password have access to the photos and video stored at Redflex computer. | Trial Court Case Number: 54151252 PE Trial Court Case Name: The People of the State of California V. Soriano |
| | | (3) The most accurate summary of evidence presented at trial | |
| | | is contained in the two written decisions issued by the court | Clerk fills in the number below: Appellate Division Case Number: |
| | | in this case. | 30-2010-00385069 |
| | с. 🗌 | This modified statement must be sent to the parties. More corrections than could be listed above were needed in order f | or parts (3) through (7) of the statement |
| | س. ۳۰۰ | proposed by the appellant to be a complete and accurate summary statement is attached to this order. This modified statement must be | of the trial court proceedings. A corrected |
| | d. 🗆 | The trial court proceedings in this case were reported by a court reporter or officially recorded electronically under Government Code section 69957. Instead of correcting this statement, the court orders under rule 8.916(d)(6)(B) that a transcript be prepared as the record of these proceedings. (Check the court's local rules to make sure the court has not adopted a rule providing that this option is not available.) This superior court has a local rule for the appellate division authorizing the use of an official electronic recording as the record of the oral proceedings. The trial court proceedings in this case were officially electronically recorded. Instead of correcting this statement, the court orders that a copy of that electronic recording be prepared as the record of these proceedings at the court's expense. | |
| | е. 🗌 | | |
| . | 7 | 12/10- | He - |
| Date | | Signature of the | rial court fudicial officer |
| New J | | alfornia, www.countinfo.ca.gov Order Concerning Appellan Order Concerning Appellan | ts CR-144, Page 1 of 1 |

Proposed Statement on Appeal (Infraction)

American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsiWorkflow.com