From: <u>"Steve Jepsen"</u> <>

To: "Nancy Chase Dpublicpolicypartner" > publicpolicypartner

Date: 10/2/2014 7:24:12 AM

Subject: RedFlex
Attachments: RedFlex.msg

Sender: SJepsen@ci.oceanside

Subject: RedFlex Message-Id:

To: publicpolicypartner
Cc: FMcCoy@ci.oceanside

Cc: JMullen@ci.oceanside

From: <u>"Steve Jepsen"</u> ⇔

Date:

Subject: RedFlex

Nancy,

The attorneys office has opined that the direction from the Council at last nights meeting is sufficient to terminate the contact with RedFlex with no further action required by the City Council. The Police Department will be in contact with RedFlex directly to discuss options, if any, and the terms of termination consistent with our contract requirements.

Page 1						
To: Date: Subject:	Nancy <publicpolicypartne "steve="" 10="" 12:53:06="" 2="" 2014="" <sjepsen@ci.oceanside="" jepsen"="" pm="" re:="" redflex="" redflex.msg<="" th=""></publicpolicypartne>					
Sender: @publicpolicypartne Subject: Re: RedFlex Message-Id: <7748C0E1-CA60-4195-BA8 Recipient: SJepsen@ci.oceanside.	30-61 A 640553537@publicpolicypartne					
To: <u>"S</u> Date:	nncy <					
I thought it wasn't an Action Item?						
Sent from my iPhone						
On Oct 2, 2014, at 8:24 AM, Steve Jepsen	wrote:					
Nancy,						
contact with RedFlex with no further action i	tion from the Council at last nights meeting is sufficient to terminate the required by the City Council. The Police Department will be in contact ny, and the terms of termination consistent with our contract					

Page 1 "Steve Jepsen" <> From: Nancy < <u>@publicpolicypartner</u> To: Date: 10/2/2014 4:30:50 PM Subject: RE: RedFlex Attachments: RedFlex.msg Sender: SJepsen@ci.oceanside. Subject: RE: RedFlex Message-Id: To. publicpolicypartne From: "Steve Jepsen" ⇔ Nancy @publicpolicypartner To: Date: Subject: RE: RedFlex So did I, the Police Department will follow up with RedFlex. From: Nancy [mailto @publicpolicypartnet Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2014 1:53 PM To: Steve Jepsen Subject: Re: RedFlex I thought it wasn't an Action Item? Sent from my iPhone On Oct 2, 2014, at 8:24 AM, Steve Jepsen wrote: Nancy, The attorneys office has opined that the direction from the Council at last nights meeting is sufficient to terminate the contact with RedFlex with no further action required by the City Council. The Police Department will be in contact with RedFlex directly to discuss options, if any, and the terms of termination consistent with our contract requirements.

From: "Nancy Chase" Spublic policy partner

To: "Steve Jepsen" <SJepsen@ci.oceanside

Date: 10/3/2014 10:37:17 AM

Subject: Re; RedFlex
Attachments: RedFlex.msg

Sender: @publicpolicypartne

Subject: Re: RedFlex

Message-Id:

Recipient: SJepsen@ci.oceanside.

From: "Nancy Chase" Dpublicpolicypartne

To: "Steve Jepsen" <SJepsen@ci.oceanside

Date:

Subject: Re: RedFlex

Hi Steve...when is good to talk? Redflex has an idea for Oceanside I'd like to discuss with you. Thanks, nc

Sent from my iPad

On Oct 2, 2014, at 5:30 PM, Steve Jepsen wrote:

So did I, the Police Department will follow up with RedFlex.

From: Nancy [mailto: publicpolicypartner publi

Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2014 1:53 PM

To: Steve Jepsen Subject: Re: RedFlex

I thought it wasn't an Action Item?

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 2, 2014, at 8:24 AM, Steve Jepsen wrote:

Nancy,

The attorneys office has opined that the direction from the Council at last nights meeting is sufficient to terminate the contact with RedFlex with no further action required by the City Council. The Police Department will be in contact with RedFlex directly to discuss options, if any, and the terms of termination consistent with our contract requirements.

From: "Steve Jepsen" <> To:

"Nancy Chase" <

10/6/2014 7:22:31 AM

RE: RedFlex Subject: Attachments: RedFlex.msg

Sender: SJepsen@ci.oceanside.

Subject: RE: RedFlex

Message-Id:

To: nancy@publicpolicypartners.com

From: "Steve Jepsen" <>

To:

Date:

Subject:

Nancy.

My direct line is 760-435. If I am not in it will go to voice mail, if I am in I will usually answer unless in a meeting.

Steven J

From: Nancy Chase [mailto: @publicpolicypartness]

Sent: Friday, October 03, 2014 11:37 AM

To: Steve Jepsen Subject: Re: RedFlex

Hi Steve...when is good to talk? Redflex has an idea for Oceanside I'd like to discuss with you. Thanks, nc

Sent from my iPad

On Oct 2, 2014, at 5:30 PM, Steve Jepsen wrote:

So did I, the Police Department will follow up with RedFlex.

From: Nancy [mailto:r@publicpolicypartne

Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2014 1:53 PM

To: Steve Jepsen Subject: Re: RedFlex

I thought it wasn't an Action Item?

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 2, 2014, at 8:24 AM, Steve Jepsen wrote:

Nancy,

The attorneys office has opined that the direction from the Council at last nights meeting is sufficient to terminate the contact with RedFlex with no further action required by the City Council. The Police Department will be in contact with RedFlex directly to discuss options, if any, and the terms of termination consistent with our contract requirements.

From: To:	"Steve Jepsen" "Nancy Chase" @publicpolicypartne
Date:	10/6/2014 1:16:23 PM
Subject: Attachments:	RE: Voice Mail from Nancy Chase (Cell) (13 seconds) Voice Mail from Nancy Chase (Cell) (13 seconds).msg
Audamicus,	York Mail Ton Maley Crase (Con) 15 Seconds and
Sender: SJepsen@ci.oceanside. Subject: RE: Voice Mail from Nancy Chase (Cell) (13 seconds sage-Id: To: 1000 (2000) (200	conds)
	Steve Jepsen"
To: "	Nancy Chase" < pupplicpolicypartne
	RE: Voice Mail from Nancy Chase (Cell) (13 seconds)
Nancy,	
I should have something more definitive for you on Wed	Inesday. I appreciate the RedFlex offer to monitor for 30 to 45 days post contract termination.
Steven J	
Steven	
From: Microsoft Outlook On Behalf Of Nancy Chase	
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2014 10:03 AM	
To: Steve Jepsen Subject: Voice Mail from Nancy Chase (Cell) (13 second	is)
You received a voice mail from Nancy Chase at 1619857	
Caller-Id:	
1	
1619857	
Job Title:	
President/CEO	
Company:	
Public Policy Partners, LLC.	
Mobile:	
(619) 857	
E-mail:	
@publicpolicypartner	
(a) participated participation	

From: "Steve Jepsen" ⇔

To: "Nancy Chase \@publicpolicypartner @publicpolicypartner @publicpolicypartner

Date: 10/7/2014 2:52:51 PM

Subject: RedFlex
Attachments: RedFlex.msg

Sender: SJepsen@ci.oceanside.

Subject: RedFlex Message-Id:

To: nancy@publicpolicypartners.com
Cc: FMcCoy@ci.oceanside.
Cc: DDiPierro@ci.oceanside.
Cc: mlawrence@ci.oceanside.

From: <u>"Steve Jepsen"</u> ⇔

To: "Nancy Chase \(a \tag{\text{\$\pi\}} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\text{\$\pi\}} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\pi\}} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\pi\}} \text{\$\pi\} \text{\$\p

Date:

Subject: RedFlex

Nancy,

As the Police Department and Attorneys office work through the termination of our existing agreement with RedFlex I would like to forward discussions of RedFlex offer you generously forwarded to myself of; leaving the cameras in place for 30 to 45 day (at no additional cost to the city) for monitoring purposes. I would like to see the after data by approach as it compares to the before data. The only hesitation I might have is that we would have to compare data with the assumption that the ratio of camera recordings to validated citations would not likely change. We will not have observations validating the individual video violations.

In addition, it has been suggested that Oceanside only cite drivers in excess of 14 MPH for Right Turn on Red violations, I would like to confirm this, if possible. Does the RedFlex equipment provide approach speed or would this have to be an observed situation on the individual videos?

Steven Jepsen

CM

Oceanside

From: To: Date: Subject: Attachments:	"Keith Underwood" <kunder "phillip="" 11="" 2014="" 2:22:18="" 3="" <pcromo@ci.oceansid="" @redfle="" authentication="" authentication.msg<="" brett="" gregory,="" level="" multi="" pm="" romo"="" th="" user=""></kunder>
Sender: kunderwood@redflex.com Subject: Brett Gregory, multi level user autl Message-Id: Recipient: pcromo@ci.oceanside.	hentication
To: <u>"</u> Date:	Keith Underwood" <kunderw< th=""></kunderw<>
Craig,	
Is Brett still with you guys? I'm compiling thorough thataway. Besides, you'll probabl	a data base and need his info. I know you guys are done, but I'm just ly be processing for a little while yet.
Thanks	
Keith Underwood	
Client Services Specialist	
Redflex Traffic Systems, Inc.	
23751 N. 23rd Ave. • Phoenix, AZ 85085	5
M: 310.490 F: 562.286	

"Annie Perrigo" <>

To: rwarner@redfle

mdevich@redf

Date: 11/13/2014 11:38:36 AM

Subject: Redflex Contract with the City of Oceanside Redflex Contract with the City of Oceanside msg Attachments:

Sender: APerrigo@ci.oceanside

Subject: Redflex Contract with the City of Oceanside

Message-Id: <59D8260C0460C24DB1685E58408EDE55011FAB83B5@MAHAN.ocean.local>

To: rwarner@redfle To: mdevich@redflex

> From: "Annie Perrigo" <> To:

rwarner@redflex

mdevich@redfle

Date:

Redflex Contract with the City of Oceanside Subject:

Termination Ltr Redflex.pdf Attachments:

Dear Mr. Warner and Mr. Devich - Approximately one month ago, our office forwarded a letter (attached) advising that we would be terminating our contract with Redflex pursuant to the 45 day notice provision and the payment of termination fees. We enclosed a check for the termination fees with the letter. By our calculations, the contract will terminate as of November 30, 2014. In our letter, we asked for an accounting of the termination fees and proof of receipt of payment, yet we have not heard anything back in response to our letter.

I am following up to confirm that Redflex received our notice (it was signed for) and to ask that you provide the accounting we requested for our records at your earliest convenience.

Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter.

Annie M. Perrigo

Senior Deputy City Attorney

Office of the City Attorney

300 N. Coast Hwy.

Oceanside, CA 92054

Tel. (760) 435-

Fax (760) 439

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act [15 U.S.C. 2510]. It contains material that may be confidential and/or privileged under the work product doctrine, and the attorney-client or official information privileges, and is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any reliance on or review of this email by anyone other than the intended recipient, or any distribution of this email, without express written permission of the City Attorney is prohibited. Inadvertent disclosure of the contents of this email or its attachments to unintended recipients is not intended to nor does it constitute a waiver of any privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email, and destroy all copies of the original message.

Note: All email and voicemail to and from the City of Oceanside may be considered public information and may be disclosed upon request.

From: "Annie Perrigo" ⇔

To: "Mark Devich" <mdevich@redfle

Date: 11/14/2014 10:39:04 AM

Subject: RE: Redflex Contract with the City of Oceanside

Attachments: Redflex Contract with the City of Oceanside .msg

Sender: APerrigo@ci.oceansid

Subject: RE: Redflex Contract with the City of Oceanside

Message-Id: <59D8260C0460C24DB1685E58408EDE55011FAB9574@MAHAN.ocean.local>

To: mdevich@redfle Cc: jweaver@redfle Cc: dkolack@redfle

From: <u>"Annie Perrigo"</u> <>

To: "Mark Devich" < mdevich@redfle

Date:

Subject: RE: Redflex Contract with the City of Oceanside

Dear Mark - Thank you so much for the prompt reply — we just wanted to make sure everyone was aware and on the same page. I look forward to receipt of the requested invoicing re: termination fees and proof of payment.

Thank you,

Annie M. Perrigo Senior Deputy City Attorney Tel. (760) 435-Fax (760) 439-3

Note: All email and voicemail to and from the City of Oceanside may be considered public information and may be disclosed upon request.

From: Mark Devich [mailto:mdevich@redflex.......] Sent: Friday, November 14, 2014 10:35 AM

To: Annie Perrigo

Cc: Jack Weaver; Darren Kolack

Subject: RE: Redflex Contract with the City of Oceanside

Mrs. Perrigo –

Thank you for the follow-up email. Bob Warner is no longer with the company, so I have included Darren Kolack (his replacement for the state of California) and Jack Weaver (Vice President of Client Services – my boss). We are planning to comply based on the information provided. In the meantime, feel free to contact me if there are any questions or issues needing to be discussed.

T	hanks,

Mark

Mark Devich

West Region Client Services Director

Redflex Traffic Systems, Inc.

23751 N. 23rd Ave., Suite 150 • Phoenix, AZ 85085

P: 623.207. M: 602.361.

From: Annie Perrigo [mailto:APerrigo@ci.oceanside...

Sent: Thursday, November 13, 2014 12:39 PM

To: Robert Warner; Mark Devich

Subject: Redflex Contract with the City of Oceanside

Importance: High

Dear Mr. Warner and Mr. Devich – Approximately one month ago, our office forwarded a letter (attached) advising that we would be terminating our contract with Redflex pursuant to the 45 day notice provision and the payment of termination fees. We enclosed a check for the termination fees with the letter. By our calculations, the contract will terminate as of November 30, 2014. In our letter, we asked for an accounting of the termination fees and proof of receipt of payment, yet we have not heard anything back in response to our letter.

I am following up to confirm that Redflex received our notice (it was signed for) and to ask that you provide the accounting we requested for our records at your earliest convenience.

and 1	. 1	•	1 11	, .	21 ·
I hank wou	in advance	されか ひんけげ	prompt atten	TION TO	thic matter
THAILK YOU	III au vaiice	, ioi youi	prompt and	uon w	uns manci.

Annie M. Perrigo

Senior Deputy City Attorney

Office of the City Attorney

300 N. Coast Hwy.

Oceanside, CA 92054

Tel. (760) 435-

Fax (760) 439-3

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act [15 U.S.C. 2510]. It contains material that may be confidential and/or privileged under the work product doctrine, and the attorney-client or official information privileges, and is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any reliance on or review of this email by anyone other than the intended recipient, or any distribution of this email, without express written permission of the City Attorney is prohibited. Inadvertent disclosure of the contents of this email or its attachments to unintended recipients is not intended to nor does it constitute a waiver of any privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email, and destroy all copies of the original message.

Note: All email and voicemail to and from the City of Oceanside may be considered public information and may be disclosed upon request.

Confidentiality Note: This e-mail, and any attachment to it, is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity named on the e-mail, and may contain confidential or proprietary information (including copyrighted materials). If the reader of is not an authorized recipient, you are hereby notified that reading it or further distributing it (other than to the author or the intended recipient) is prohibited and is potentially an infringement of the rights of the sender or intended recipient. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately return it to the sender and delete it from your system. Thank you.