2 2 2003 3 By. M. BARHAM, Deputy 4 ÷ 6 Times 4 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 11 Coordination Proceedings Special Title (Rule Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 12 4305 1550(b)) 13 RED LIGHT PHOTO ENFORCEMENT Assigned to: Judge Linda B. Quinn CASES 14 (PROPOSED) ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND This document applies to: 15 PROVIDING FOR NOTICE 16 C.L. Trustees v. Lockheed Martin Superior Court of California County of San Diego, No. GIC773619 17 Cook v. Lockheed Martin Superior Court of California 18 County of San Diego, No. GIC773950 19 Superior Court of California Glickman v. City of San Diego County of San Diego, No. GIC767025 20 Superior Court of California Buys v. City and County of San Francisco 21 County of San Francisco, No. 400669 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

WHEREAS, class actions are pending before the Court entitled Red Light Photo Enforcement Cases, Case No. JCCP 4305; and

WHEREAS, the Court has received the Settlement Agreement dated as of November 6, 2003 (the "Agreement"), that has been entered into by and among (i) Plaintiffs Constance Goertz as Trustee for Donald D. Goertz and Constance L. Goertz, Trustees U/D/T dated March 30, 1998 (aka "C.L. Trustees"), Patricia Yates, Christine Stankus, Jerrold Cook and Richard Mark Yells, on behalf of themselves, the General Public of the State of California and each of the members of the Settlement Class as well as Plaintiffs Heather Buys, Mark Glickman and Christine Ballon, on behalf of themselves and the General Public of the State of California ("Plaintiffs") and (ii) Del Mar Ventures, L.L.C. (formerly known as U.S. Public Technologies, LLC) (the "Settling Defendant"), and the Court has reviewed the Agreement and its attached Exhibits; and

WHEREAS, the parties having made application, pursuant to §382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, for an order preliminarily approving the settlement of the Actions, in accordance with the Agreement which, together with the Exhibits annexed thereto sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement of the settling portions of the Actions and for dismissal of the Actions against the Settling Defendant with prejudice upon the terms and conditions set forth therein; and the Court having read and considered the Agreement and the Exhibits annexed thereto; and

WHEREAS, all defined terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Court does hereby preliminarily approve the Agreement and the settlement set forth therein, subject to further consideration at the Fairness Hearing described below.
- 2. A hearing (the "Fairness Hearing") shall be held before this Court on February 27, 200%, at 2:00 p.m., at 330 West Broadway, San Diego, California, to determine whether the proposed settlement of the portion of the Settling Actions on the terms and conditions provided for in the Agreement is fair, just, reasonable and adequate to the Settlement Class and should be approved by the Court; whether an Order as provided in ¶1.11 and ¶9 of the Agreement and Exhibit B thereto should be

entered herein; whether the proposed Plaintiffs' Plan of Allocation and Administration of Settlement (Exhibit C to the Agreement) should be approved; and to determine the amount of fees and expenses that should be awarded to Plaintiffs' Counsel. The Court may adjourn the Fairness Hearing without further notice to members of the class.

- 3. Pursuant to §382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and Rule 1859 of the California Rules of Court, the Court reaffirms the portion of the classes previously certified by order dated March 11, 2003 to be a Settlement Class of all Persons in the State of California who paid fines, penalties, attorneys' fees or forfeited bail as a result of a citation issued through the automated traffic enforcement systems operated by the Settling Defendant in California between January 1, 1996 and December 31, 1998. Excluded from the Settlement Class are the Settling Defendant and any entity that has an interest in or is affiliated with any Settling Defendant as well as any judge presiding over this matter and members of the judge's family.
- 4. With respect to the Settlement Class, this Court's March 11, 2003 class certification order reaffirms that: (a) the Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all Settlement Class Members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class which predominate over any individual questions; (c) the claims of the Representative Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; and (d) the Representative Plaintiffs and their counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of all of the Settlement Class Members.
- 5. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Proposed Class Notice (the "Notice"), the Proof of Claim form (the "Proof of Claim") and the Summary Notice for publication annexed as Exhibits A-1, A-2 and A-3 hereto, and finds that the publication and distribution of the notices substantially in the manner and form set forth in ¶6 of this Order meet the requirements of §382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, Rule 1856 of the California Rules of Court, and due process, and is the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

- 6. Co-Lead Counsel are hereby authorized to retain the firm of Complete Claims Solutions, Inc. ("Claims Administrator") to supervise and administer the notice procedure as well as the processing of claims as more fully set forth below:
- (a) Co-Lead Counsel shall not later than December 29, 2003 (the "Notice Date") cause a copy of the Notice and the Proof of Claim, substantially in the forms annexed hereto as Exhibits A-1 and A-2, to be mailed by first class mail to all Settlement Class Members who were identified;
- (b) Not later than December 29, 2003, Co-Lead Counsel shall cause the Summary Notice to be published once in the following newspapers: Los Angeles Times, Sacramento Bee, San Diego Union Tribune, San Francisco Chronicle, San Jose Mercury News, Santa Rosa Press Democrat, Riverside Free Press, Ventura County Star, San Mateo County Times and on an Internet website maintained or created by or on behalf of Plaintiffs; and
- (c) At least seven (7) calendar days prior to the Fairness Hearing, Co-Lead Counsel shall cause to be served on all parties and filed with the Court proof, by affidavit or declaration, of such mailing.
- 7. All Settlement Class Members shall be bound by all determinations and Orders entered in the Actions concerning the settlement, whether favorable or unfavorable to the Settlement Class.
- 8. Settlement Class Members who wish to receive monetary payment shall complete and submit a Proof of Claim form in accordance with the instructions contained therein and the terms of the Agreement. Unless the Court orders otherwise, all Proof of Claim forms must be postmarked no later than 270 days from the date of the Notice. Any Settlement Class Member who does not timely submit a Proof of Claim within the time provided for, shall be barred from sharing in the distribution of the proceeds of the Settlement Fund, unless otherwise ordered by the Court, but will in all other respects be subject to and bound by the provisions of the Agreement, the releases contained therein and the Final Order.
- 9. In the event the aggregate sum of the valid and timely Proofs of Claim submitted by Authorized Claimants exceeds the Net Settlement Fund, each Authorized Claimant's amount of recovery pursuant to this settlement shall be reduced pro rata. In the event the aggregate sum of the

valid and timely Proofs of Claim submitted by Authorized Claimants is less than the Net Settlement Fund, then the remaining balance of the Net Settlement Fund after payment to all Authorized Claimants shall be paid pursuant to the *cy pres* doctrine to one or more charities to be chosen by Co-Lead Counsel and approved by the Court.

- 10. Any Settlement Class Member may enter an appearance in the Settling Actions at their own expense, individually or through counsel of their own choice. If they do not enter an appearance, they will be represented by Plaintiffs' Counsel.
- 11. Pending resolution of these settlement proceedings, no other action now pending or hereafter filed arising out of all or any part of the subject matter of the portion of the Settling Actions against the Settling Defendant or the Del Mar Releasees shall be maintained as a class action, and except as provided by this or further order of the Court, for good cause shown, all persons are hereby enjoined during the pendency of these settlement proceedings from filing or prosecuting purported class actions against the Settling Defendant or the Del Mar Releasees with respect to any of the Released Claims.
- Any member of the Settlement Class may appear and show cause, if he, she or it has any, why the proposed settlement of the Settling Actions should or should not be approved as fair, just, reasonable and adequate, why an Order should or should not be entered thereon, why the Plaintiffs' Plan of Allocation and Administration of Settlement should or should not be approved, or why attorneys' fees and expenses should or should not be awarded to counsel for the Plaintiffs; provided, however, that no Settlement Class Member or any other person shall be heard or entitled to contest the approval of the terms and conditions of the proposed settlement, or, if approved, the Order to be entered thereon approving the same, or the order approving the Plaintiffs' Plan of Allocation and Administration of Settlement, or the attorneys' fees and expenses to be awarded to counsel for the Plaintiffs, unless that person has delivered by hand or sent by first class mail written objections and # MB copies of any papers and briefs such that they are received on or before February 2, 200%, to Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach LLP, Timothy G. Blood, 401 B Street, Suite 1700, San Diego, CA 92101; and Folger Levin & Kahn LLP, Karen Jensen Petrulakis, Embarcadero Center West, 275 Battery

Street, 23rd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111, and filed said objections, papers and briefs with the Clerk for the San Diego County Superior Court, 330 West Broadway, San Diego, California 92101, on or before February 3, 2007. Any Settlement Class Member who does not make his, her or its objection in the manner provided shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed from making any objection to the fairness or adequacy of the proposed settlement as set forth in the Agreement, to the Plaintiffs' Plan of Allocation and Administration of Settlement, or to the award of attorneys' fees and expenses to counsel for the Plaintiffs, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

- 13. The passage of title and ownership of the Settlement Fund to the Escrow Agent in accordance with the terms and obligations of the Agreement is approved. No person that is not a Settlement Class Member or counsel to the Plaintiffs shall have any right to any portion of, or in the distribution of, the Settlement Fund unless otherwise ordered by the Court or otherwise provided in the Agreement, including as provided for in ¶7.2 of the Agreement. In the event that the Agreement is not approved by the Court or the Effective Date does not occur, Plaintiffs' Settlement Counsel shall reimburse the Settling Defendant the amount of \$400,000.00 by returning the amount remaining in the Settlement Fund plus any costs incurred out of the Settlement Fund, but in no event will the amount be other than \$400,000.00.
- 14. All funds held by the Escrow Agent shall be deemed and considered to be in *custodia* legis of the Court, and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, until such time as such funds shall be distributed pursuant to the Agreement and/or further order(s) of the Court.
- 15. All papers in support of the settlement and any application by counsel for the Plaintiffs for attorneys' fees or reimbursement of expenses shall be filed ten (10) calendar days prior to the Fairness Hearing.
- 16. All reasonable expenses incurred in identifying and notifying Settlement Class Members, as well as administering the Settlement Fund, shall be paid as set forth in the Agreement.
- 17. The Court reserves the right to adjourn the date of the Fairness Hearing without further notice to Settlement Class Members, and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed settlement. The Court may approve the settlement, with such

1	 modifications as may be agreed to by the Settling	g Parties, if appropriate, without further notice to the						
2	Settlement Class.							
3	DATED:	JUDGE LINDA B. QUINN						
4	DEC 2 2003	THE HONORABLE LINDA B. QUINN						
5		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT						
6	Submitted by:							
7	MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD							
8	HYNES & LERACH LLP JOHN J. STOIA, JR.							
9	TIMOTHY G. BLOOD HELEN I. ZELDES							
10	1001							
11	more sand							
12	TIMOTHY G. BLOOD							
13	401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101							
14	Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)							
15	EUGENE G. IREDALE							
16	DOUGLAS S. GILLILAND 105 West F Street, 4th Floor							
17	San Diego, CA 92101-6036 Telephone: 619/233-1525 619/233-3221 (fax)							
18	· ·							
19	LAW OFFICES OF ARTHUR F. TAIT III Professional Corporation							
20	ARTHUR F. TAIT III 333 Nutmeg Street							
21	San Diego, CA 92103 Telephone: 619/234-3457							
22	619/234-3733 (fax)							
23	Attorneys for C.L. Trustees Plaintiffs							
24	WINGERT GREBING BRUBAKER & RYAN							
25	CHARLES R. GREBING 600 West Broadway, 7th Floor							
26	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/232-8151							
27	619/232-4664 (fax)							
28		-6-						
ì	[PROPOSED] ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE							

1 2 3 4	FRONT LINE LAW GROUP, LLP MICHAEL J. FREMONT JOSEPH ADELIZZI 191 Calle Magdalena, Suite 220 Encinitas, CA 92024 Telephone: 760/635-0640 760/635-0654 (fax)	
5	Attorneys for Cook Plaintiffs	
6	SULLIVAN, HILL, LEWIN, REZ & ENGEL	
7	BRIAN L. BURCHETT 550 West C Street, Suite 1500	
8	San Diego, CA 92101-3540 Telephone: 619/233-4100	
9	619/231-4372 (fax)	
10	Attorneys for Glickman Plaintiffs	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	U DADAMANA AND ANT SAA MARINTANNA 1470 A. A.	

- 7 [PROPOSED] ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

IF YOU RECEIVED A TICKET BECAUSE OF A RED LIGHT PHOTO ENFORCEMENT CAMERA SYSTEM, YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO A PAYMENT FROM A CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT.

A California Court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

- A settlement has been proposed in a class action lawsuit about the operation of red light camera systems in California. The settlement will provide \$400,000.00 to pay claims from drivers who paid a fine, penalty, attorneys' fees or forfeited bail as a result of a citation issued from a red light automated traffic enforcement system operated in California between January 1, 1996 and December 31, 1998.
- The settlement resolves a portion of a lawsuit alleging that red light photo enforcement systems operated in certain areas were illegal. The lawsuit is continuing against other defendants for tickets issued during a period after December 31, 1998.
- If you qualify, you may send in a claim form to get benefits, or you may object to the settlement.
- Your legal rights are affected whether you act, or don't act. Read this notice carefully.

YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT:				
SUBMIT A CLAIM FORM	The only way to get a payment.			
Овјест	Write to the Court about why you don't like the settlement.			
GO TO A HEARING	Ask to speak in Court about the fairness of the settlement.			
Do Nothing	Get no payment. Give up your rights.			

- These rights and options and the deadlines to exercise them are explained in this notice.
- The Court in charge of this case still has to decide whether to approve the settlement. Payments may only be made if the Court approves the settlement and after appeals, if any, are resolved. Please be patient.

BASIC INFORMATION

1. What is this lawsuit about:

The Class Representatives claim the red light photo enforcement systems in certain California cities are illegal because (1) they are operated by a private, for-profit corporation instead of government agencies in cooperation with law enforcement, and (2) the private, for-profit corporation is paid if money is collected from the red light tickets. This settlement addresses only a portion of the time period covered by the lawsuit. The rest of the lawsuit is not part of this settlement, and is continuing.

The defendants include Del Mar Ventures, LLC (formerly known as U.S. Public Technologies, LLC). Only Del Mar Ventures is settling this lawsuit.

Del Mar Ventures denies all allegations of wrongdoing.

The Court has made no determination of the merits of plaintiffs' or defendant's case.

2. WHY IS THERE A SETTLEMENT?

The Court did not decide in favor of plaintiffs or defendant. Instead, plaintiffs and defendant Del Mar Ventures have agreed to a settlement. That way, they avoid the cost of a trial, and the people affected will get compensation. The Class Representatives and the attorneys think the settlement is best for all Class Members.

3. Who is included in the Settlement Class?

You may be a member of the Settlement Class if you paid fines, penalties, or attorneys' fees or forfeited bail as a result of a citation issued from a red light automated traffic enforcement system operated in California between January 1, 1996 and December 31, 1998.

Excluded from the Class are the officers, directors and employees of defendant, any judge presiding over this matter and members of the judge's family.

4. Who represents my interests in this settlement?

The Court has designated a number of the plaintiffs who brought these actions as Class Representatives. Plaintiffs' Counsel, some of whom are listed below, are the lawyers for the Class and the Settlement Class. If you are a member of the Settlement Class, these Class Representatives and these lawyers will act as your representatives and lawyers for this settlement against this defendant.

If you are a Settlement Class Member, you may, but are not required to, enter an appearance through counsel of your own choosing at your own expense. If you do not do so, you will be represented by Plaintiffs' Settlement Counsel: Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach LLP, Timothy G. Blood, Helen I. Zeldes, 401 B Street, Suite 1700, San Diego, CA 92101 and Wingert, Grebing, Brubaker & Ryan, Charles R. Grebing, Eric Deitz, 600 West Broadway, 7th Floor, San

5. Do I have to pay money to participate in the Settlement Class?

You will not be personally responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in these lawsuits. If the Court approves the proposed settlement, the lawyers for the Class will request that the Court award a portion of the attorney's fees and costs incurred to be paid out of the fund recovered on behalf of the Class.

6. If I participate in the Settlement Class, what are my choices?

As a Settlement Class Member, you will be bound by any judgment benefiting or adverse to the Class and you may not maintain a separate lawsuit. You will have your claim for money and other relief decided in this lawsuit and receive your portion, if any, from the settlement.

7. I WISH TO OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT. HOW DO I OBJECT?

If there is something about the settlement that you do not like, you may file an objection with the Court. You will still be in the settlement and will still receive benefits if the settlement is approved and you timely submit a valid claim form.

If you want to object, you must file your objection in writing with the Court. Your objection should include:

- (1) Your name address and telephone number;
- (2) The entity issuing the citation and the citation number for the citation that makes you a member of the class;
- (3) The reasons you do not like the settlement; and
- (4) The case names and numbers of this lawsuit.

You must file your written objection with the Court no later than _______, 2003. Mail your objection to Clerk of the Court, San Diego Superior Court, 330 West Broadway, San Diego, California 92101.

You also *must* deliver copies of your objection to the attorneys in the case:

Karen Jensen Petrulakis Folger Levin & Kahn LLP Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, 23rd Floor San Francisco, California 94111

Counsel for Defendant Del Mar Ventures, LLC

Timothy G. Blood

Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach LLP 401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, California 92101

Co-Lead Counsel for the Class

The Court and the attorneys *must* receive your objection by _______, 2003, or your objection may not be considered.

8. Additional Information.

All references in this notice to pleadings and Court orders are only summaries. Complete copies of the pleadings, orders and other documents filed in this litigation may be examined and copied at any time during regular office hours at the offices of the Clerk of the Court, San Diego Superior Court, 330 West Broadway, San Diego, California 92101. Three of the cases are C.L. Trustees v. Lockheed Martin, IMS, Case No. GIC773619; Jerrold Cook v. Lockheed Martin, IMS, Case No. GIC773950, Glickman v. City of San Diego, Case No. GIC767025, and all cases are part of Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4305.

Certain documents and other information are also available on the Internet at www.redlightcases.com.

If you have any questions concerning any matter raised in this notice, or wish to provide us with your current name or address, please visit the website or write to any of the attorneys identified below, who are designated as Class counsel:

MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD HYNES & LERACH LLP Timothy G. Blood Helen I. Zeldes 401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101

WINGERT, GREBING, BRUBAKER & RYAN Charles R. Grebing Eric Deitz 600 West Broadway, 7th Floor San Diego, CA 92101

Attorneys for the Class

PLEASE DO ADVICE.	NOT	CALL	OR	WRITE	ТО	THE	COURT	FOR	INFO	RMATION	OR
DATED:		, 2	2003		BY (ORDEI	R OF THE	SUPE	RIOR	COURT	

OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

S:\Settlement\Red Light.Set\EXH 00003253_A1.doc

1 2	<u>CLAIM FORM</u> To Receive Reimbursement of Money Paid From Red Light Auto Enforcement Citation						
3	INSTRUCTIONS						
4	If you paid a fine, penalty, attorneys' fees or forfeited bail as a result of a citation issued from a						
5	red light automated enforcement system operated in California between January 1, 1996 and						
6	December 31, 1998, you may be entitled to a return of some or all of the money you paid.						
7	To get money, you must:						
8	• Fill out this Claim Form,						
9	Sign this Claim Form,						
10	Attach copies of the documents this Claim Form asks for,						
11	Mail the Claim Form and documents to:						
12	Red Light Photo Enforcement Cases c/o Complete Claim Solutions						
13	P.O. Box 24690 West Palm Beach, FL 33416						
14	YOU MUST MAIL YOUR COMPLETED AND SIGNED CLAIM FORM SO THAT IT						
15	IS POSTMARKED ON OR BEFORE, 2004.						
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26	•						
27							
28							
	1 1						

PROOF OF CLAIM

Please Type or Print

]]	——————————————————————————————————————				
PART I:	CLAIMANT IDENTIFICATION	N	,		
			 		
Claimant's Na	me (First, Middle, Last)				
Street Address					
City		State		Zip Code	
Area Code	Telephone Number (work)	-			
	a cooperation (work)				
Area Code	Telephone Number (home)	-			
	Topione (mile)	,			
					-
	9		*		
		•			
,					
					٠
		2 - OF CLAIM		·	

PART II: TELL US ABOUT YOUR RED LIGHT CITATION: 2 1. Please identify the city or county that issued the citation to you through an automatic red light camera enforcement system: 4 5 2. Intersection citation issued at: 6 Approximate date of citation: 3. 8 9 Approximate time of citation: 4. 10 Do you have a copy of your citation? 11 5. 12 (Yes) (No) 13 If yes, please attach proof of citation. Did you pay a: (check each that applies) 14 6. 15 ___ Fine ___ Penalty ___ Forfeiture of Bail ___ Attorney's Fees 16 7. How much did you pay for each? \$___Fine \$__Penalty \$__Forfeiture of Bail \$___Attorney's Fees 17 18 8. Do you have a copy of your payment of a fine, penalty, attorneys' fees or forfeited bail related to your red light citation? 19 20 21 If yes, please attach proof of payment. 22 In order to recover under this settlement, you must provide proof of payment of the fine, penalty, attorneys' fees or forfeited bail. Documents that can be used to prove payments include: a 23 receipt of payment from a governmental entity or your attorney; a copy of a cancelled check used for 24 25 payment that clearly indicates the purpose of payment; and an invoice from your attorney showing 26 payment and the purpose of the payment. 27 28

1	9. Did you receive more than one citation?
2	(Yes)(No)
3	If yes, please provide the information above for each additional ticket.
4	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
5	foregoing information supplied by the undersigned is true and correct and that this Proof of Claim form
6	was executed this day of in (month) (year) (City, State)
7	(month) (year) (City, State)
8	
9	(Sign your name here)
10	
11	(Type or print your name here)
12	ACCURATE CLAIMS PROCESSING TAKES A
13	SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF TIME. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE.
14	Reminder Checklist:
15	1. Please sign the above declaration.
16	2. Remember to attach all supporting documentation.
17	
18	3. Keep a copy of your claim form for your records.
19	4. If you desire an acknowledgement of receipt of your claim form, please send it Certified
20	Mail, Return Receipt Requested.
21	5. If you move, <i>please send us your new address</i> .
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	S:\Settlement\Red Light.Set\EXH 00001163_A2.doc
}	- 4 -

PROOF OF CLAIM

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

IF YOU RECEIVED A TICKET BECAUSE OF A RED LIGHT PHOTO ENFORCEMENT CAMERA SYSTEM, YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO A PAYMENT FROM A CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT.

A California Court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

PLEASE READ THIS COURT ORDERED CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT NOTICE

A settlement has been proposed in a class action lawsuit about the operation of red light camera systems in California. The settlement will provide \$400,000.00 to pay claims of drivers who paid a fine, penalty, attorneys' fees or forfeited bail as a result of a citation issued from a red light automated traffic enforcement system operated in California between January 1, 1996 and December 31, 1998. If you qualify, you may send a claim form to get benefits, or you may object to it.

The Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Diego authorized this notice. The Court will have a hearing to decide whether to approve the settlement.

This is to inform you of your rights concerning the proposed settlement.

What Is This Case About? The Class Representatives claim the red light photo enforcement systems in certain cities are illegal because (1) they are operated by a private, for-profit corporation instead of government agencies in cooperation with law enforcement; and (2) the private, for-profit corporation is paid if money is collected from the red light tickets. This settlement addresses only a portion of the time period covered by

this lawsuit. The rest of the lawsuit is not part of this settlement.

Defendant denies all allegations of wrongdoing.

The Court has made no determination of the merits of plaintiffs' or defendants' case.

WHAT DOES THE SETTLEMENT PROVIDE? Del Mar Ventures, LLC, fka U.S. Public Technologies, LLC agrees to create a fund of \$400,000.00 to be divided among all Class Members who send in valid claim forms within the time prescribed. A Settlement Agreement, available at the website below, describes the details of the proposed settlement.

The maximum you are entitled to receive is reimbursement for any fine, penalty or forfeited bail incurred as a result of receiving a citation from a red light automated traffic enforcement system, in addition to any attorneys' fees (up to \$250.00) paid in challenging the citation. Your share of the fund will depend on the number of valid claim forms submitted and the number of citations you received for which you submit a valid claim.

How Do You Ask for a Payment?	MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD			
A detailed notice and claim form package	HYNES & LERACH LLP			
contains everything you need. Just call or	Timothy G. Blood			
visit the website below to get one. To qualify	Helen I. Zeldes			
for a payment, you must send in a claim form	401 B Street, Suite 1700			
by, 2003.	San Diego, CA 92101			
WHAT ARE YOUR OTHER OPTIONS?	WINGERT, GREBING, BRUBAKER			
You may object to the settlement by filing	& RYAN			
with the Court and serving on the parties'	Charles R. Grebing			
lawyers no later than, 2003 a	Eric Deitz			
written objection. The detailed notice	600 West Broadway, 7th Floor			
explains how to object.	San Diego, CA 92101			
The Court will hold a hearing in this case (Red	PLEASE DO NOT CALL OR WRITE			
Light Photo Enforcement Cases, JCCP No.	THE COURT FOR INFORMATION OR			
4305) on, 2003, to consider	ADVICE.			
whether to approve the settlement and a				
request by the lawyers representing all Class	BY ORDER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT			
Members for attorneys' fees and costs of up to	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,			
25% of the settlement fund. You may want to	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO.			
appear at the hearing, but you don't have to.	D 4 FFF			
For more information, visit the website	DATE:, 2003.			
www.redlightcases.com or write:	QUESTION? VISIT			
	QUESTION: VISIT			

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, the undersigned, declare:

- 1. That declarant is and was, at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of San Diego, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to or interest in the within action; that declarant's business address is 401 B Street, Suite 1700, San Diego, California 92101.
- 2. That on November 25, 2003, declarant served the [PROPOSED] ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE by depositing a true copy thereof in a United States mailbox at San Diego, California in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the parties listed on the attached Service List.
- 3. That there is a regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the places so addressed.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 25th day of November, 2003, at San Diego, California.

JUNE P. ITO

RED LIGHT
Service List - 11/25/2003 (201-414)
Page 1 of 3

Counsel For Defendant(s)

Robert C. Nash Bill Lockyer Andrea Hoch Attorney General's Office 1300 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814 916/323-5809 916/324-8835(Fax)

Michael Jenkins
City Attorneys Office (West Hollywood)
1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 110
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
310/643-8448
310/643-8441 (Fax)

Karen Jensen Petrulakis Folger Levin & Kahn, LLP Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, 23rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 415/986-2800 415/986-2827 (Fax)

Robert J. Lauchlan, Jr.
Ross H. Hyslop
Jim McNeill
McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP
750 B Street, Suite 3300
San Diego, CA 92101
619/595-5400
619/595-5450 (Fax)

Rafal Ofierski
Dennis J. Herrera
Randy Riddle
City And County of San Francisco
One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Plaza
San Francisco, CA 94102
415/554-4721
415/554-4699(Fax)

Dennis I. Floyd John J. Sansone City Of San Diego 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355 San Diego, CA 92101 619/531-4847 619/531-6005 (Fax)

Fernanda Lai Folger Levin & Kahn, LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90067 310/556-3700 310/556-3770 (Fax)

Christopher K. Lui
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
400 South Hope Street, Suite 1060
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899
213/430-6000
213/430-6407 (Fax)

RED LIGHT

Service List - 11/25/2003 (201-414) Page 2 of 3

Thomas R. Laube Sandler, Lasry, Laube, Byer & Valdez LLP 402 West Broadway, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101-3542 619/235-5655 619/235-5648(Fax)

Counsel For Plaintiff(s)

Eugene G. Iredale Douglas S. Gilliland Attorneys At Law 105 West F Street, 4th Floor San Diego, CA 92101-6036 619/233-1525 619/233-3221 (Fax)

Arthur F. Tait III Law Offices of Arthur F. Tait III 333 Nutmeg Street San Diego, CA 92103 619/234-3457 619/234-3733 (Fax)

Brian L. Burchett Donald G. Rez Todd A. Bulich Sullivan, Hill, Lewin, Rez & Engel 550 West C Street, Suite 1500 San Diego, CA 92101-3540 619/233-4100 619/231-4372 (Fax) Dennis W. Dawson Supervising Attorney General 110 West A Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 619/645-2082 619/645-2581 (Fax)

Michael J. Fremont Joseph Adelizzi Front Line Law Group, LLP 191 Calle Magdalena, Suite 220 Encinitas, CA 92024 760/635-0640 760/635-0654(Fax)

John J. Stoia, Jr.
Timothy G. Blood
Helen I. Zeldes
Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach LLP
401 B Street, Suite 1700
San Diego, CA 92101-4297
619/231-1058
619/231-7423(Fax)

C. D. Michel
Trutanich - Michel, LLP
Port of Los Angeles
407 N. Harbor Blvd.
San Pedro, CA 90731
310/548-3816
310/548-4813(Fax)

RED LIGHT
Service List - 11/25/2003 (201-414)
Page 3 of 3

Charles R. Grebing Eric R. Deitz Wingert, Grebing, Brubaker & Ryan 600 West Broadway, 7th Floor San Diego, CA 92101 619/232-8151 619/232-4664(Fax)